IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl.Misc. No.2186-M of 2007
Date of Decision:- 15.03.2007

Satbir & others ....Petitioner(s)

through
Mr.Pratibha Yadav, Advocate

vs.

Presiding Officer & ors. ....Respondent(s)

through
Mr.Tarun Aggarwal, Sr.DAG, Haryana.
Mr.Vipin Yadav, Advocate for No.3.

***

CORAM:-HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT.

***

1) Whether Reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2) To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3) Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

***

SURYA KANT, J.

It is stated by the learned counsel for the petitioners that pursuant to order dated January 16, 2007, the petitioners have surrendered before the trial Court and have furnished the requisite bail bonds upon which they have been admitted to regular bail.

Learned counsel for respondent No.3-the complainant, however, has pointed out and rightly so that though the petitioners have put in appearance, however, both the complaints i.e. the one lodged by respondent No.3 and the other by the Civil Court, are pending for the last more than 15 years and as such, a direction to expedite the conclusion of trial proceedings therein may be issued.

After hearing learned counsel for the parties, the interim order dated January 16, 2007 is made absolute. The learned trial Court is

directed to make an endeavour to conclude the trial proceedings in the afore-stated complaints as early as possible but not later than one year. It has to be kept in view that if the complaints are more than 15 years old, these might be the oldest pending cases at the trial Court level, therefore, it is highly desirable to take up these complaint cases out of turn for being disposed of within the stipulated period.

March 15, 2007 **(SURYA KANT)**
poonam **JUDGE**